Preprint number: XXXX-XXXX

Solutions of random-phase approximation equation for positive-semidefinite stability matrix

H. Nakada*

Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University,
Yayoi-cho 1-33, Inage, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
**E-mail: nakada@faculty.chiba-u.jp*

arXiv:1606.03167v1 [nucl-th] 10 Jun 2016

It is mathematically proven that, if the stability matrix S is positive-semidefinite, solutions of the random-phase approximation (RPA) equation are all physical or belong to Nambu-Goldstone (NG) modes, and the NG-mode solutions may form Jordan blocks of $\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{S}$ (N is the norm matrix) but their dimension is not more than two. This guarantees that the NG modes in the RPA can be separated out via canonically conjugate variables.

Subject Index RPA, stability, Nambu-Goldstone mode

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls

The random-phase approximation (RPA) is widely used as describing excitation properties on top of mean-field (MF) solutions. In Ref. [1], I have mathematically argued properties of solutions of the RPA equation, based on two types of dualities which are named UL- and LR-dualities. The solutions have been classified into the five categories as disclosed by the dualities, in Prop. 2 of Ref. [1]. It has been also reconfirmed that, if the stability matrix S is positive-definite, the solutions are all physical, belonging to Class (1) of Prop. 2, which was already verified in Ref. [2]. Its opposite has been proven as well. However, spontaneous symmetry breakdown (SSB) necessarily occurs for the MF solution in localized self-bound systems like atomic nuclei [3]. Individual SSB leads to a Nambu-Goldstone (NG) mode, and therefore S is quite generally positive-semidefinite in physical cases, rather than positive-definite.

A method to handle NG modes has been established [2, 4, 5], which seems valid as long as the NG mode corresponds to physical degrees-of-freedom (d.o.f.). This method presumes that each NG mode forms a two-dimensional Jordan block of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$, where N is the norm matrix. On the contrary, the dualities do not limit dimension of Jordan blocks, as exemplified in Appendix C.5 of Ref. [1]. To my best knowledge, there have been no rigorous arguments that elucidate dimension of Jordan blocks for the NG modes, despite an argument for restricted cases [6]. In this paper, I shall prove what dimensionality is possible for Jordan blocks associated with the NG-mode solutions (*i.e.*, Class (5) in Prop. 2 of Ref. [1]), when S is positive-semidefinite. Section, Appendix or Proposition number referred to in the text signifies that of Ref. [1], even if not mentioned.

The RPA equation is expressed as

$$\mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu = \omega_\nu \mathsf{N}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu\,; \quad \mathsf{N} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

The stability matrix S is a square matrix satisfying

$$\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{S}^\dagger\,, \quad \Sigma_x\,\mathsf{S}^*\,\Sigma_x = \mathsf{S}\,; \quad \Sigma_x := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

and its dimensionality is denoted by $2D$. It is noted that Eq. (1) can read an eigenvalue problem of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$.

Proposition 1. *If the stability matrix S is positive-semidefinite, solutions of the RPA equation are constrained to those of Classes (1) and (5) in Prop. 2, and dimensionality of the Jordan blocks for the NG-mode solutions does not exceed two.*

Proof. Provided that S is positive-semidefinite. As shown in Sec. 3.3, $\tilde{\mathsf{S}} := \mathsf{S}^{1/2}\,\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{S}^{1/2}$ is hermitian, and gives an eigenvalue problem almost equivalent to that of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$. To be precise,

eigenvalues of $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}$ match those of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$ up to their degeneracies, and eigenvectors of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$ are those of $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}$, although the opposite of the latter part is not fulfilled for Jordan blocks of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$. Quite similarly to the proof for Prop. 5, $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}$ is diagonalizable by a certain matrix Y, $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}\,\mathsf{Y} = \mathsf{Y}\,\tilde{\Omega}$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a diagonal matrix. The eigenvalues in $\tilde{\Omega}$ are all real and paired as $\pm\omega_\nu$ (see Prop. 1 of Ref. [1]). We take $\tilde{\Omega} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{diag.}(\omega_\nu) & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{diag.}(\omega_\nu) \end{pmatrix}$ so that $0 \le \omega_1 \le \omega_2 \le \cdots \le \omega_D$. In correspondence to this ordering, we write $\mathsf{Y} = (\boldsymbol{y}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}_{2D})$. Let us denote dimensionality of $\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\mathsf{S}})$ (the kernel of $\tilde{\mathsf{S}}$) by $\tilde{K}$; *i.e.*, $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \cdots = \omega_{\tilde{K}} = 0$. For $\tilde{K} < \nu \le D$, $(\omega_\nu, \mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{S}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{y}_\nu)$ provides an eigensolution of $\mathsf{N}\mathsf{S}$, which is normalizable because

$$(\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{S}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{y}_\nu)^\dagger\,\mathsf{N}\,(\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{S}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{y}_\nu) = \boldsymbol{y}_\nu^\dagger\,\tilde{\mathsf{S}}\,\boldsymbol{y}_\nu = \omega_\nu\,\boldsymbol{y}_\nu^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{y}_\nu > 0\,. \tag{3}$$

These solutions belong to Class (1), and the others are obviously belong to Class (5).
We now focus on the NG-mode solutions;

$$\mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu = \boldsymbol{0}\,. \tag{4}$$

Equation (4) indicates $\boldsymbol{x}_\nu \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathsf{S})$, as well as that it is a NG-mode solution of the RPA equation. Note that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathsf{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\mathsf{S}})$. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(\nu)} = \boldsymbol{x}_\nu$ forms a Jordan block. We then have, by setting $\omega_\nu = 0$ and $k = 1$ in Eq. (11) of Ref. [1],

$$\mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)} = ic_1^{(\nu)}\,\mathsf{N}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu\,, \tag{5}$$

where $c_1^{(\nu)}\,(\in \mathbf{C}) \neq 0$. Since $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)} \notin \mathrm{Ker}(\mathsf{S})$, multiplication of Eq. (5) by $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)\dagger}$ yields

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)\dagger}\,\mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)} = ic_1^{(\nu)}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)\dagger}\,\mathsf{N}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu > 0\,. \tag{6}$$

From Prop. 3 and relevant arguments, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)\dagger}\,\mathsf{N}\,\boldsymbol{x}_\nu \neq 0$ enables us to identify $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(\nu)}$ to be LR-dual to $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(\nu)}\,(= \boldsymbol{x}_\nu)$, deriving that this Jordan block is self LR-dual (in the respect of Prop. 3) and $d_\nu = 2$ (d_ν is the dimension of the Jordan block). ■

While the above proposition does not exclude the possibility of a pair of $d_\nu = 1$ NG modes, they result in a trivial case in which both of the canonically conjugate d.o.f. are not included in the RPA Hamiltonian (*i.e.*, S). From Prop. 6 the two-dimensional Jordan blocks for the NG-mode solutions can be made doubly self dual. Notice that, for self UL-dual basis vectors, 'canonical conjugacy' corresponds to the LR-duality. Decomposition of the RPA Hamiltonian in terms of conjugate variables is accomplished by the projectors discussed in Sec. 4[1]. Thus, as long as S is positive-semidefinite, it is mathematically guaranteed that the

[1] The example of $J_\pm$ for the SSB with respect to the rotation in Sec. 5.3 of Ref. [1] was not appropriate, in which the Jordan blocks corresponding to $J_\pm$ were claimed not to be self LR-dual. They are self LR-dual in truth, while not self UL-dual.

prescription to separate out the NG modes via canonically conjugate variables [2, 4, 5, 7], which is equivalent to Eqs. (5,6) above, is applicable. Then, for $\mathcal{W}_{[\nu]} = \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\mathsf{S}})$, $\mathsf{S}_{[\nu]^{-1}}$ is positive-definite (see Sec. 4 for the notation).

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to K. Neergård for drawing attention to the subject of this paper. This work is financially supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24105008 and Grant Number 16K05342.

References

[1] H. Nakada, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2016), in press [e-Print archive 1604.07972].
[2] D.J. Thouless, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 78 (1961).
[3] D.J. Thouless, Nucl. Phys. **21**, 225 (1960).
[4] D.J. Thouless and J.G. Valatin, Nucl. Phys. **31**, 221 (1962).
[5] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem* (Springer-Verlag, 1980).
[6] K. Neergård, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 044313 (2009).
[7] D.J. Rowe, *Nuclear Collective Motion* (Methuen, 1970).